UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KALSHIEX, LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

17

21

22

KIRK D. HENDRICK, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00575-APG-BNW

Order Denying Motion for Stay Pending Appeal and Denying Motion for Leave to File Surreply

[ECF Nos. 238, 257]

Plaintiff KalshiEX, LLC moves for a stay of my order dissolving the preliminary injunction in this case pending the Ninth Circuit's resolution of Kalshi's appeal of that order. Alternatively, Kalshi requests a short-term stay to give it time to seek a stay from the Ninth Circuit. The defendants and intervenor oppose. Additionally, the defendants move for leave to file a surreply.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(d), I may "grant an injunction on terms for bond or other terms that secure the opposing party's rights" while "an appeal is pending from an 15 interlocutory order . . . that . . . dissolves" a preliminary injunction. To determine whether to grant a motion to stay pending appeal, I consider "(1) whether the stay applicant has made a strong showing that he is likely to succeed on the merits; (2) whether the applicant will be 18 irreparably injured absent a stay; (3) whether issuance of the stay will substantially injure the other parties interested in the proceeding; and (4) where the public interest lies." Nken v. Holder, 20|| 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009). I deny the motion for stay for the reasons articulated in my order dissolving the preliminary injunction. ECF No. 237; see also Nken, 556 U.S. at 434 (stating there "is substantial overlap between these and the factors governing preliminary injunctions"). I deny the defendants' motion for leave to file a surreply as moot.

I THEREFORE ORDER that plaintiff KalshiEX, LLC's motion to stay case (ECF No. **238**) is **DENIED**. I FURTHER ORDER the defendants' motion for leave to file a surreply (ECF No. 257) is DENIED as moot. DATED this 16th day of December, 2025. ANDREW P. GORDON CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE